ASHKAN NAJAFI

Appl. No. 10/634,495 Amdt. Dated 08/25/2004 Reply to Office Action of 05/28/2004

## Remarks/Arguments

Applicant would like to thank the examiner for the thorough review of the present application and the telephone interview conducted on August 23, 2004 with the attorney of record.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a), as not showing every feature of the claimed invention. Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 8 and 15 for removing any specific reference to "plurality of protrusions". Applicant believes such an amendment satisfies the requirement of 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a) and 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph.

In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's objection of the claims should be withdrawn. Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits the currently amended claims 1, 8 and 15 should be considered as patentably distinguishing over the prior art of record because no cited reference discloses the ability to retrofit a braking system onto alternate wheel barrows in the manner claimed in independent claims 1, 8 and 15.

Should the examiner consider necessary or desirable to make formal changes anywhere in the specification, claims and/or drawings, then it is respectfully asked that such changes be made by examiner's Amendment, if the examiner feels this would facilitate passage of the case to issuance. Alternatively, should the examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted, Law Office of Ashkan Najafi, P.A.

Ashkan Najafi,

Reg. No. 49,978 / Customer No. 34,356

Appl. No. 10/634,495 Amdt. Dated 08/25/2004 Reply to Office Action of 05/28/2004

113 Lamplighter Lane
Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082
Telephone: 904-551-6110
Facsimile: 904-551-6111
patentattorney@patent-usa.com